1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 9 JULIUS BRADFORD, 2:13-cv-01784-RFB-GWF 10 Petitioner, **ORDER** 11 VS. 12 TIMOTHY FILSON, et al., 13 Respondents. 14 15 16 In this habeas corpus action, brought by Nevada prisoner Julius Bradford, the respondents 17 filed a motion to dismiss on September 11, 2017 (ECF No. 73). Bradford filed an opposition to the 18 motion to dismiss on November 27, 2017 (ECF No. 76). And, on November 27, 2017, Bradford also 19 filed a motion for an evidentiary hearing (ECF No. 77). 20 The scheduling order governing this case was entered on January 13, 2017 (ECF No. 66). 21 Under that order, respondents' reply in support of their motion to dismiss was due on December 27, 22 2017, and their response to the motion for evidentiary hearing was due on the same date. See Order 23 entered January 13, 2017 (ECF No. 66). 24 On December 11, 2017, respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 78), 25 requesting an extension of time to January 10, 2018, for their response to the motion for evidentiary 26 hearing. Then, on December 27, 2017, respondents filed another motion for extension of time (ECF

No. 79), requesting an extension of time to January 26, 2018, to file their reply in support of their motion to dismiss.

Respondents' counsel states that she needs the extensions because of her heavy caseload, because of the retirement of an attorney in her unit, and because of the holidays. This would be the first extension of these deadlines. Bradford does not oppose the motions for extension of time. The Court finds that respondents' motions for extension of time are made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extensions of time. The Court will, therefore, grant the extensions of time for both respondents' reply in support of their motion to dismiss and their response to the motion for evidentiary hearing.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that respondents' motions for extensions of time (ECF Nos. 78 and 79) are **GRANTED**. Respondents will have until **January 26, 2018**, to file their reply in support of their motion to dismiss, and their response to petitioner's motion for evidentiary hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in all other respects, the schedule for further proceedings set forth in the order entered January 13, 2017 (ECF No. 66) shall remain in effect.

DATED this 28th day of December, 2017.

RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE